

# The Partial Simplicial Category and Algebras for Monads

Marek Zawadowski  
Instytut Matematyki, Uniwersytet Warszawski  
ul. S.Banacha 2,  
00-913 Warszawa, Poland  
zawado@mimuw.edu.pl

January 4, 2011

## Abstract

We construct explicitly the weights on the simplicial category so that the colimits and limits of 2-functors with those weights provide the Kleisli objects and the Eilenberg-Moore objects, respectively, in any 2-category.  
MS Classification 18A30, 18A40 (AMS 2010).

## 1 Introduction

It is well known that monads in 2-categories correspond to 2-functors from the simplicial category  $\Delta$ . It is also well known that the Kleisli and the Eilenberg-Moore objects can be build as weighted (co)limits, c.f. [St2]. In this paper we construct explicitly the weights  $W_r$  and  $W_l$  on  $\Delta$  so that the  $W_r$ -weighted colimits provide the Kleisli objects and the  $W_l$ -weighted limits provide the Eilenberg-Moore objects in any 2-category  $\mathcal{D}$ .

## 2 Partial simplicial category $\Pi$

Let  $\Delta$  be the usual (algebraists) simplicial category. The objects of  $\Delta$  are finite linear orders denoted by  $n = \langle n, \leq \rangle = \langle \{0, \dots, n-1\}, \leq \rangle$ , for  $n \in \omega$ . The morphisms of  $\Delta$  are monotone functions. For  $n \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq i < n$ , the morphism

$$\sigma_i^n : n+1 \longrightarrow n$$

is an epi that takes value  $i$  twice. For  $n \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , the morphism

$$\delta_i^n : n \longrightarrow n+1$$

is a mono that misses the value  $i$ . We usually omit the upper index when it can be read from the context. These morphisms satisfy the following simplicial identities. For  $i \leq j$

$$\delta_i \delta_j = \delta_{j+1} \delta_i \qquad \sigma_j \sigma_i = \sigma_i \sigma_{j+1}$$

and

$$\sigma_j \delta_i = \begin{cases} \delta_i \sigma_{j-1} & \text{if } i < j \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j, j+1 \\ \delta_{i-1} \sigma_j & \text{if } i > j+1 \end{cases}$$

It is well known, c.f [CWM], that the morphisms  $\sigma_i$  and  $\delta_i$  generates  $\Delta$  subject to the above relations.

The partial simplicial category  $\Pi$  has the same objects as  $\Delta$  but the morphisms in  $\Pi$  are partial monotone functions. Clearly, the morphism  $\sigma_i$  and  $\delta_i$  of  $\Delta$  are morphism in  $\Pi$  as well, and they satisfy the same simplicial identities. For  $n \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , the morphism

$$\tau_i^n : n + 1 \longrightarrow n$$

is an epi not defined on  $i$ . For  $i \leq j$  we have

$$\tau_j \tau_i = \tau_i \tau_{j+1}$$

Moreover, the morphisms  $\sigma_i$ ,  $\delta_i$ , and  $\tau_i$  satisfy the following identities in  $\Pi$  that, together with the above identities, will be called *partial simplicial identities*.

$$\tau_j \sigma_i = \begin{cases} \sigma_i \tau_{j+1} & \text{if } i < j \\ \tau_j \tau_{j+1} & \text{if } i = j \\ \sigma_{i+1} \tau_j & \text{if } i > j \end{cases} \quad \tau_j \delta_i = \begin{cases} \delta_i \tau_{j+1} & \text{if } i < j \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ \delta_{i-1} \tau_j & \text{if } i > j \end{cases}$$

We have

**Lemma 2.1.** *Every morphism  $f : n \rightarrow m$  in  $\Pi$  can be expressed in a canonical form as*

$$f = \delta_{i_r} \dots \delta_{i_1} \sigma_{j_1} \dots \sigma_{j_s} \tau_{k_1} \dots \tau_{k_t} \quad (1)$$

with  $i_1 < \dots < i_r$ ,  $j_1 < \dots < j_s$ , and  $k_1 < \dots < k_t$ ,  $m - n = r - s - t$ .

*Proof.* This can be easily seen directly or using the partial simplicial identities.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** *The category  $\Pi$  is generated by the morphisms  $\sigma_i$ ,  $\delta_i$ , and  $\tau_i$  subject to the partial simplicial identities.*

*Proof.* The partial simplicial identities hold in  $\Pi$ . Moreover, every morphism in  $\Pi$  can be written in a canonical form. Finally, two different canonical forms represent two different morphisms in  $\Pi$ .  $\square$

*Remark.* The category  $\Pi$  is a strict monoidal category with the monoidal structure defined by the coproduct. Moreover, the inclusion functor  $\Delta \rightarrow \Pi$  is a strict morphism of strict monoidal categories.

### 3 The categories $\Pi_l$ and $\Pi_r$ and the multiplication functors

As the category  $\Delta$  is a strict monoidal category it can be considered as 2-category with one 0-cell  $*$ , and then the tensor becomes the composition of 1-cells. We denote this 2-category by  $\mathbf{\Delta}$ .

The *left partial simplicial category*  $\Pi_l$  is a subcategory of  $\Pi$  with the same objects as  $\Pi$ . A morphism  $f : n \rightarrow m$  from  $\Pi$  is in  $\Pi_l$  iff for any  $i \leq j \in n$ , if  $f(j)$  is defined so is  $f(i)$ . In other words the morphisms of  $\Pi$  are generated by the morphisms in  $\Delta$  and the morphism  $\tau_n^n : n + 1 \rightarrow n$  for  $n \in \omega$ . Note that  $\tau_n^n = id_n + \tau_0^0$ . In  $\Pi_l$  Lemma 2.1 holds with an additional condition that  $k_{i+1} = k_i + 1$  and  $k_t = n - 1$ .

We have a *left multiplication* 2-functor

$$W_l : \mathbf{\Delta} \rightarrow 2Cat$$

such that

$$W_l(*) = \Pi_l, \quad W_l(n) = n + (-), \quad W_l(f) = f + (-)$$

for  $f : n \rightarrow m \in \Delta$ . Thus  $W_l$  can be seen as an action of  $\Delta$  on  $\Pi_l$  by tensoring on the left. Clearly,  $\Pi_l$  is closed with respect to such operations.

Dually, we have the *right partial simplicial category*  $\Pi_r$ , a subcategory of  $\Pi$  with the same objects as  $\Pi$ . A morphism  $f : n \rightarrow m$  from  $\Pi$  is in  $\Pi_r$  iff for any  $i \leq j \in n$ , if  $f(i)$  is defined so is  $f(j)$ . In other words the morphisms of  $\Pi$  are generated by the morphisms in  $\Delta$  and the morphism  $\tau_0^n : n + 1 \rightarrow n$ , for  $n \in \omega$ . Note that  $\tau_0^n = \tau_0^0 + id_n$ . In  $\Pi_l$  Lemma 2.1 holds with a additional condition that  $k_{i+1} = k_i + 1$  and  $k_1 = 0$ .

We have a *right multiplication* 2-functor

$$W_r : \Delta \rightarrow 2Cat$$

such that

$$W_r(*) = \Pi_r, \quad W_r(n) = (-) + n, \quad W_r(f) = (-) + f$$

for  $f : n \rightarrow m \in \Delta$ . Thus  $W_r$  can be seen as an action of  $\Delta$  on  $\Pi_r$  by tensoring on the right. Clearly,  $\Pi_r$  is closed with respect to such operations.

## 4 Monads as 2-functors

The 2-functors  $\mathbf{T} : \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  correspond bijectively to monads in the 2-category  $\mathcal{D}$ .

Suppose  $(\mathcal{C}, T, \eta, \mu)$  is a monad in  $\mathcal{D}$  on  $\mathcal{C}$ . We define a 2-functor  $\mathbf{T}$  as follows:

$$\mathbf{T}(*) = \mathcal{C}, \quad \mathbf{T}(0) = 1_{\mathcal{C}}, \quad \mathbf{T}(n) = T^n,$$

$$\mathbf{T}(\delta_0^0) = \eta, \quad \mathbf{T}(\delta_i^n) = T^{n-i} \eta_{T^i}, \quad \mathbf{T}(\sigma_0^1) = \mu, \quad \mathbf{T}(\sigma_i^n) = T^{n-i-1} \mu_{T^i},$$

The equations

$$\mathbf{T}(\sigma_i) \circ \mathbf{T}(\sigma_i) = \mathbf{T}(\sigma_i) \circ \mathbf{T}(\sigma_{i+1})$$

hold, as the consequence of the associativity of the multiplication  $\mu \circ T\mu = \mu \circ \mu_T$ . The equations

$$\mathbf{T}(\sigma_i) \circ \mathbf{T}(\delta_i) = 1 = \mathbf{T}(\sigma_{i+1}) \circ \mathbf{T}(\delta_i)$$

hold, as the consequence of the unit axiom  $\mu \circ T\eta = 1 = \mu \circ \eta_T$ . The remaining simplicial equations hold as a consequence of the Middle Exchange Law (MEL).

On the other hand, having a 2-functor  $\mathbf{T} : \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ , we get a monad  $(\mathbf{T}(*), \mathbf{T}(1), \mathbf{T}(\delta_0^0), \mathbf{T}(\sigma_0^1))$ .

Let  $2\mathbf{Cat}$  be the 3-category of 2-categories. As  $2\mathbf{Cat}(\Delta, \mathcal{D})$  is the 2-category of monads in  $\mathcal{D}$  with strict morphisms, we can think of  $\Delta$  as a 2-category representing monads with strict morphisms in 2-categories.

## 5 The 2-functor $Subeq_T$ and the Eilenberg-Moore objects

For a given monad  $(\mathcal{C}, T, \eta, \mu)$  in a 2-category  $\mathcal{D}$  we define a 2-functor

$$Subeq_T : \mathcal{D}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$$

as follows. For a given 0-cell  $X$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ , the category  $Subeq_T(X)$  has as objects pairs  $(U, \xi)$  such that  $U : X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is a 1-cell in  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $\xi : TU \rightarrow U$  is a 2-cell in  $\mathcal{D}$  such that in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T^2U & \xrightarrow{T(\xi)} & TU & \xrightarrow{\xi} & U \\ & \mu_U \searrow & & \nwarrow \eta_U & \\ & & TU & & \end{array}$$

we have

$$\xi \circ \eta_U = 1_U, \quad \xi \circ T(\xi) = \xi \circ \mu_U.$$

In such case, we say that  $(U, \xi)$  *subequalizes the monad*  $T$ . A morphism  $\tau : (U, \xi) \rightarrow (U', \xi')$  is a 2-cell  $\tau : U \rightarrow U'$  such that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TU & \xrightarrow{T(\tau)} & TU' \\ \xi \downarrow & & \downarrow \xi' \\ U & \xrightarrow{\tau} & U' \end{array}$$

commutes. The 2-functor  $Subeq_T$  is define on 1- and 2-cells in the obvious way, by composition.

Recall, from [St1], see [Z] for the notation, that *the 2-category  $\mathcal{D}$  admits Eilenberg-Moore objects* if the embedding  $\iota$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathbf{Mnd}(\mathcal{D}) \\ & \xleftarrow{EM} & \end{array}$$

has a right 2-adjoint  $\iota \dashv EM$ .  $\mathbf{Mnd}(\mathcal{D})$  is the 2-category of monads in  $\mathcal{D}$  with lax morphisms of monads and transformations of lax morphisms. We have a 2-functor

$$\mathbf{Mnd}(\iota(-), T) : \mathcal{D}^{op} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Cat} \quad (2)$$

sending 0-cell  $X$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  to the category  $\mathbf{Mnd}(\iota(X), T)$  of lax morphisms from the identity monad on  $X$  to the monad  $T$  and transformations between such morphisms.

The following definition is a 'monad by monad' version of the previous one. We say that *the monad  $T$  admits Eilenberg-Moore object* iff the 2-functor  $\mathbf{Mnd}(\iota(-), T)$  is representable.

A simple verification shows

**Lemma 5.1.** *The 2-functors  $Subeq_T$  and  $\mathbf{Mnd}(\iota(-), T)$  are naturally isomorphic.*

## 6 The 2-functor $Cone_{W_l}(\mathbf{T})$

Let  $\mathbf{T} : \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be a 2-functor and  $T$  be the monad corresponding to  $\mathbf{T}$ . We define a 2-functor

$$Cone_{W_l}(\mathbf{T}) : \mathcal{D}^{op} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$$

of  $W_l$ -cones over  $\mathbf{T}$ . We will show that the 2-functor  $Cone_{W_l}(\mathbf{T})$  is isomorphic to the 2-functor  $Subeq_T$ .

Fix a 0-cell  $X$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ . An object of  $Cone_{W_l}(\mathbf{T})(X)$  is a 2-natural transformation

$$\lambda : W_l \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T}(*))$$

with only one component the functor  $\lambda_*$  also denoted  $\lambda$ . The 2-naturality means that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Pi_l & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & \mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T}(*)) \\ \downarrow m+(-) & & \downarrow \mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T}(m)) \\ f+(-) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T}(f)) \\ \downarrow n+(-) & & \downarrow \mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T}(n)) \\ \Pi_l & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & \mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T}(*)) \end{array}$$

commutes, for any  $f : m \rightarrow n$  in  $\Delta$ . Put  $T = \mathbf{T}(1)$  and  $\lambda(0) = U : X \rightarrow \mathbf{T}(*)$ . We have with  $f$  as before

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(m) &= \lambda(m + 0) = \mathbf{T}(m) \circ \lambda(0) = T^m U, \\ \lambda(f) &= \lambda(f + 0) = \mathbf{T}(f) \circ \lambda(0) = \mathbf{T}(f) \circ U\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, putting  $\lambda(\tau_0^0) = \xi : TU \rightarrow U$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(\tau_n^n) &= \lambda(id_n + \tau_0^0) = \mathbf{T}(id_n) \circ_0 \mathbf{T}(\tau_0^0) = \mathbf{T}(id_n) \circ_0 \xi = \\ &= \mathbf{T}(id_1) \circ_0 \dots \circ_0 \mathbf{T}(id_1) \circ_0 \xi = id_T \circ_0 \dots \circ_0 id_T \circ_0 \xi = T^n(\xi)\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\lambda$  is uniquely determined by  $U$  and  $\xi$ . The equations

$$\tau_0^0 \circ \delta_0^1 = 1, \quad \tau_0^0 \circ \tau_1^1 = \tau_0^0 \circ \sigma_0^1$$

implies that  $(U, \xi)$  subequalizes the monad  $T$ . On the other hand, if  $(U, \xi)$  subequalizes  $T$  then we can define a 2-natural transformation  $\lambda : W_l \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T})$ , as follows. The functor

$$\lambda = \lambda_* : \Pi_l \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(X, \Pi(*))$$

is defined so that

$$\lambda(0) = U, \quad \lambda(\tau_0^0) = \xi$$

and for 2-naturality of  $\lambda$  we have

$$\lambda(n) = T^n U, \quad \lambda(f) = \mathbf{T}(f)_U, \quad \lambda(\tau_n^n) = T^n(\xi)$$

Then it is easy to verify that  $\lambda$  respect all the equations in  $\Pi_l$ .

A morphism in  $Cone_{W_l}(\mathbf{T})(X)$  between two 2-natural transformations is a modification  $\nu : \lambda \rightarrow \lambda'$  with one component  $\nu_*$ , denoted also  $\nu$ , that is a natural transformation so that, for any  $n \in \omega$ , the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Pi_l & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & \mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T}(*)) \\ \downarrow n+(-) & \nu \Downarrow & \downarrow \mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T}(n)) \\ \Pi_l & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & \mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T}(*)) \\ & \nu \Downarrow & \\ & \lambda' & \end{array}$$

commutes. As we have

$$\nu_n = \nu_{(n+0)} = T^n(\nu_0)$$

the modification  $\nu$  is uniquely determined by  $\nu_0 : U \rightarrow U' = \lambda'(0)$ . The square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \lambda(1) & \xrightarrow{\nu_1} & \lambda'(1) \\ \lambda(\tau_0^0) \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda'(\tau_0^0) \\ \lambda(0) & \xrightarrow{\nu_0} & \lambda'(0) \end{array}$$

commutes as it is the naturality of  $\nu_* : \lambda_* \rightarrow \lambda'_*$  on  $\tau_0^0$ .

On the other hand, any 2-cell  $\nu_0 : U \rightarrow U'$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
TU & \xrightarrow{T(\nu_0)} & TU' \\
\xi \downarrow & & \downarrow \xi' \\
U & \xrightarrow{\nu_0} & U'
\end{array}$$

extends to a natural transformation from  $\lambda_*$  to  $\lambda'_*$ , i.e. a modification  $\nu$  from  $\lambda$  to  $\lambda'$ .

The 2-functor  $\text{Cone}_{W_l}(\mathbf{T})$  is defined on 1- and 2-cells in the obvious way.

Constructing this functor we have in fact proved

**Lemma 6.1.** *The 2-functors  $\text{Subeq}_T$  and  $\text{Cone}_{W_l}(\mathbf{T})$  are naturally isomorphic.*

## 7 The Eilenberg-Moore objects

**Theorem 7.1.** *Let  $(\mathcal{C}, T, \eta, \mu)$  be a monad in a 2-category  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathbf{T} : \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  the corresponding 2-functor. Then  $T$  admits Eilenberg-Moore object iff  $\mathbf{T}$  has a  $W_l$ -weighted limit. If it is the case then the Eilenberg-Moore object for  $T$  and the  $W_l$ -weighted limit of  $\mathbf{T}$  are isomorphic.*

*Proof.* By Lemmas 5.1 and 6.1, the 2-functors  $\mathbf{Mnd}(\iota(-), T)$  and  $\text{Cone}_{W_l}(\mathbf{T})$  are naturally isomorphic. So if one is of representable so is the other and the representing objects are isomorphic. The representation of the first give rise to the Eilenberg-Moore object for  $T$ , and the representation of the second give rise to the  $W_l$ -weighted limit of  $\mathbf{T}$ .  $\square$

From the above theorem we get immediately

**Corollary 7.2.** *Any 2-category  $\mathcal{D}$  admits Eilenberg-Moore object iff it has all  $W_l$ -weighted limit of 2-functors from  $\Delta$ .*

## 8 The Kleisli objects

Clearly, all the above considerations can be dualised. In this case we get results relating Kleisli objects and the  $W_r$ -weighted colimits of 2-functors from  $\Delta$ .

We note for the record

**Theorem 8.1.** *Let  $(\mathcal{C}, T, \eta, \mu)$  be a monad in a 2-category  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathbf{T} : \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  the corresponding 2-functor. Then  $T$  admits Kleisli object iff  $\mathbf{T}$  has a  $W_r$ -weighted colimit. If it is the case then the Kleisli object for  $T$  and the  $W_r$ -weighted colimit of  $\mathbf{T}$  are isomorphic.*

**Corollary 8.2.** *Any 2-category  $\mathcal{D}$  admits Kleisli object iff it has all  $W_r$ -weighted colimit of 2-functors from  $\Delta$ .*

## 9 Appendix: Weighted limits in 2-categories

We recall the definition of weighted limits in 2-categories in detail.

### The 2-functor $\mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T})$

For two 2-functors between 2-categories as shown<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>There are some foundational problems that one should address. For example, it is desirable that the 2-category  $\mathcal{I}$  be small. But we will be ignoring this issues believing that the reader can fix all these problem on its own, the way she or he likes most.

$$\mathcal{I} \xrightarrow{W} \mathbf{Cat} \quad \mathcal{I} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{T}} \mathcal{D}$$

we are going to describe the  $W$ -weighted limit of  $\mathbf{T}$ .

For any 0-cell  $X$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  we can form a 2-functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{I} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T})} & \mathbf{Cat} \\ \begin{array}{c} i \\ \downarrow f \\ \alpha \Rightarrow \\ \downarrow g \\ j \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T}(i)) \\ \downarrow \mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T}(f)) \\ \mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T}(\alpha)) \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T}(f)) \\ \downarrow \mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T}(j)) \end{array} \end{array}$$

of 'homming into'  $\mathbf{T}$ .

The category  $\mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T}(i))$  consists of 1- and 2-cells in  $\mathcal{D}$  from  $X$  to  $\mathbf{T}(i)$ .

The functor

$$\mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T}(i)) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T}(f))} \mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T}(j))$$

is a whiskering along the 2-cell  $\mathbf{T}(f)$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{r} & \mathbf{T}(i) \\ \gamma \Downarrow & & \\ X & \xrightarrow{s} & \mathbf{T}(i) \end{array} \xrightarrow{\quad} \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{T}(f) \circ r} & \mathbf{T}(j) \\ \mathbf{T}(f)(\gamma) \Downarrow & & \\ X & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{T}(f) \circ s} & \mathbf{T}(j) \end{array}$$

The component of the natural transformation

$$\mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T}(f)) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T}(\alpha))} \mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T}(g))$$

at  $r : X \rightarrow \mathbf{T}(i)$  is

$$\mathbf{T}(f) \circ r \xrightarrow{\mathbf{T}(\alpha)_r} \mathbf{T}(g) \circ r$$

The naturality of  $\mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T}(f))$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{T}(f) \circ r & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{T}(\alpha)_r} & \mathbf{T}(g) \circ r \\ \mathbf{T}(f)(\gamma) \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{T}(g)(\gamma) \\ \mathbf{T}(f) \circ s & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{T}(\alpha)_s} & \mathbf{T}(f) \circ r \end{array}$$

follows from MEL, where

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{r} & \mathbf{T}(i) \\ \gamma \Downarrow & & \\ X & \xrightarrow{s} & \mathbf{T}(i) \end{array} \xrightarrow{\quad} \begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{T}(f)} & \\ \mathbf{T}(\alpha) \Downarrow & & \\ & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{T}(g)} & \end{array} \mathbf{T}(j)$$

This ends the definition of the 2-functor  $\mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T})$ .

## The 2-functor of weighted cones

Using the above 2-functor(s) we can form the 2-functor  $Cone_W(\mathbf{T})$  of  $W$ -cones over  $\mathbf{T}$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{D}^{op} & \xrightarrow{Cone_W(\mathbf{T})} & \mathbf{Cat} \\
 \\ 
 \begin{array}{ccc} X & & \\ \downarrow F & \beta \Rightarrow & \downarrow G \\ Y & & \end{array} & \dashv\!\!\!\rightarrow & \begin{array}{ccc} Cone_W(\mathbf{T})(X) & & \\ \uparrow Cone_W(\mathbf{T})(F) & \xRightarrow{Cone_W(\mathbf{T})(\beta)} & \uparrow Cone_W(\mathbf{T})(G) \\ Cone_W(\mathbf{T})(Y) & & \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

Fix  $X$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ . The category  $Cone_W(\mathbf{T})(X)$  consists of 2-natural transformations between 2-functors  $W$  and  $\mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T})$  and modifications between them.

The objects in the category  $Cone_W(\mathbf{T})(X)$  are 2-natural transformations

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 W & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & \mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T}) & & \\
 \\ 
 \begin{array}{ccc} i & & \\ \downarrow f & \alpha \Rightarrow & \downarrow g \\ j & & \end{array} & \begin{array}{ccc} W_i & \xrightarrow{\lambda_i} & \mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T}(i)) \\ \downarrow W_f & W_\alpha \Rightarrow W_g & \downarrow \mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T}(f)) \\ W_j & \xrightarrow{\lambda_j} & \mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T}(j)) \end{array} & & \begin{array}{ccc} & & \downarrow \mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T}(\alpha)) \\ & & \downarrow \mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T}(g)) \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

so that

$$\mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T}(\alpha)) \circ \lambda_i = \lambda_j \circ W_\alpha$$

The morphisms in the category  $Cone_W(\mathbf{T})(X)$  are modifications  $\nu : \lambda \rightarrow \lambda'$  or

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 W & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & \mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T}) \\
 & \nu \Downarrow & \\
 W & \xrightarrow{\lambda'} & \mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T})
 \end{array}$$

such that, for  $f : i \rightarrow j$  in  $\mathcal{I}$ , the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 W_i & \xrightarrow{\lambda_i} & \mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T}(i)) \\
 \downarrow W_f & \nu_i \Downarrow & \downarrow \mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T}(f)) \\
 W_j & \xrightarrow{\lambda_j} & \mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T}(j)) \\
 & \nu_j \Downarrow & \\
 & \lambda'_j & 
 \end{array}$$

commutes, in the sense that

$$\mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T}(f)) \circ \nu_i = \nu_j \circ W_f$$

This ends the definition of the category  $Cone_W(\mathbf{T})(X)$ .

The functor

$$Cone_W(\mathbf{T})(X) \xrightarrow{Cone_W(\mathbf{T})(F)} Cone_W(\mathbf{T})(Y)$$



sends the 2-natural transformation  $\lambda$  to the 2-natural transformation

$$W \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathcal{D}(Y, \mathbf{T}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}(F, \mathbf{T})} \mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T})$$

such that, for  $i$  in  $\mathcal{I}$ ,

$$W_i \xrightarrow{\lambda_i} \mathcal{D}(Y, \mathbf{T}(i)) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}(F, \mathbf{T}(i))} \mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T}(i))$$

is a functor such that, for  $u : w \rightarrow w'$  in  $W_i$ , we have a diagram

$$X \xrightarrow{F} Y \xrightarrow[\lambda_i(w')]{\lambda_i(w)} \mathbf{T}(i)$$

and the following equations

$$\mathcal{D}(F, \mathbf{T}(i)) \circ \lambda_i(w) = \lambda_i(w) \circ F$$

$$\mathcal{D}(F, \mathbf{T}(i)) \circ \lambda_i(u) = \lambda_i(u)_F$$

hold. Moreover, the functor  $Cone_W(\mathbf{T})(F)$  sends the modification  $\nu$

$$W \xrightarrow[\lambda']{\lambda} \mathcal{D}(Y, \mathbf{T})$$

to the modification

$$W \xrightarrow[\bar{\lambda}']{\bar{\lambda}} \mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T})$$

such that, for  $i$  in  $\mathcal{I}$ ,

$$W \xrightarrow[\bar{\lambda}_i']{\bar{\lambda}_i} \mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T}(i))$$

is a natural transformation such, that for  $w$  in  $W_i$ ,

$$\bar{\lambda}_i(w) = \lambda_i(w) \circ F \xrightarrow{(\bar{\nu}_i)_w = ((\nu_i)_w)_F} \lambda'_i(w) \circ F = \bar{\lambda}'_i(w)$$

is a morphism in  $\mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T}(i))$ .

The component, at the 2-natural transformation  $\lambda : W \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T})$ , of the natural transformation

$$Cone_W(\mathbf{T})(F) \xrightarrow{Cone_W(\mathbf{T})(\beta)} Cone_W(\mathbf{T})(G)$$

is a modification  $\mathcal{D}(\beta, \mathbf{T}) \circ \lambda$ , i.e. the composition

$$W \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathcal{D}(Y, \mathbf{T}) \xrightarrow[\mathcal{D}(G, \mathbf{T})]{\mathcal{D}(\beta, \mathbf{T}) \downarrow} \mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T})$$

so that, at  $i$  in  $\mathcal{I}$ , it is the natural transformation  $\mathcal{D}(\beta, \mathbf{T}(i)) \circ \lambda_i$

$$W_i \xrightarrow{\lambda_i} \mathcal{D}(Y, \mathbf{T}(i)) \xrightarrow[\mathcal{D}(G, \mathbf{T}(i))]{\mathcal{D}(\beta, \mathbf{T}(i)) \downarrow} \mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T}(i))$$

so that, for  $w$  in  $W_i$ , it is a morphism in  $\mathcal{D}(X, \mathbf{T})$

$$\lambda_i(w) \circ F \xrightarrow{\lambda_i(w)(\beta)} \lambda_i(w) \circ G$$

from the diagram

$$X \xrightarrow[\beta \downarrow]{F} Y \xrightarrow{\lambda_i(w)} \mathbf{T}(i)$$

## The representation of the 2-functor $Cone_W(\mathbf{T})$

The representation of the functor  $Cone_W(\mathbf{T})$  is the  $W$ -weighted limit of the 2-functor  $\mathbf{T}$ . Thus it is an object  $Lim_W(\mathbf{T})$  together with a 2-natural isomorphism

$$\mathcal{D}(-, Lim_W(\mathbf{T})) \xrightarrow{\varrho} Cone_W(\mathbf{T})$$

The image of the identity on  $Lim_W(\mathbf{T})$  is the limiting  $W$ -weighted cone

$$Lim_W(\mathbf{T}) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbf{T}$$

in  $Cone_W(\mathbf{T})(Lim_W(\mathbf{T}))$ . For any 0-cell  $X$  we have a correspondence via  $\pi$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{L} & Lim_W(\mathbf{T}) \\ & n \Downarrow & \\ & L' & \\ \lambda \downarrow & \nu \Rightarrow & \lambda' \downarrow \\ \mathbf{T} & & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \pi \end{array}$$

or in another form, we have an isomorphism of categories

$$\frac{\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{L} & Lim_W(\mathbf{T}) \\ & n \Downarrow & \\ & L' & \end{array}}{\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & \mathbf{T} \\ & \nu \Downarrow & \\ & \lambda' & \end{array}} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{in } \mathcal{D} \\ \\ \text{in } Cone_W(\mathbf{T})(X) \end{array}$$

natural in  $X$ .

## References

- [CWM] S. MacLane, *Categories for the Working Mathematician*, Springer-Verlag, (1971).
- [St1] R. Street, *The Formal Theory of Monads*. Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 2 (1972) 149-168.
- [St2] R. Street, *Limits Indexed by Category-valued 2-functors*. Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 8 (1976) 149-181.
- [Z] M. Zawadowski, *The Formal Theory of Monoidal Monads*, arXiv:1012.0547v1 [math.CT].